

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 4450 Alexandria, Vigginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/502,675	02/11/2000	Shunpei Yamazaki	0756-2101	5514
	590 07/14/2003			
NIXON PEAI	BODY, LLP		FWANG	
8180 GREENS	BORO DRIVE		EXAMINER	
SUITE 800	22102		PERT, EVAN T	
MCLEAN, VA	22102			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2829	
			DATE MAILED: 07/14/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			R
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/502,675	YAMAZAKI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Evan Pert	2829	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replaced in the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statured and the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status		reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communicat  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	iion.
<u></u>	Fohruary 2002		
<u> </u>	his action is non-final.		_ :-
<ol> <li>Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims</li> </ol>			S IS
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-44 and 81-85</u> is/are pending in t	the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1.3-44 and 81-85</u> are subject to rest	riction and/or election requ	irement.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce	epted or b)  objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abey	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ o	disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been received in A	Application No	
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International B</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis</li> </ul>	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional applica	ation).
a) The translation of the foreign language pr	* *		
Attachment(s)		. 00	
1) Dotice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<b>-</b> ·
S. Patent and Trademark Office			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

**Genus** = Semiconductor devices having pixel and driver circuits wherein at least one transistor of the pixel circuit has S/D impurity regions not overlapped with its gate electrode [Currently, all of the claims are readable on the Genus]

**Species I** = A semiconductor device having pixel and driver circuits wherein at least one transistor of the driver circuit has an impurity region overlapped with a gate electrode. [Currently, claims 1, 3-17 and 36-44, 81-82 are "readable on" Species I]

**Species II** = A semiconductor device having pixel and driver circuits wherein at least one transistor of the driver circuit has an impurity region not overlapped with a gate electrode. [Currently, claims 9-35 and 82-84 are "readable on" Species II]

**Species III** = A semiconductor device having pixel and driver circuits wherein at least one transistor of the driver circuit has an impurity region overlapped with a gate electrode and at least one transistor of the driver circuit has an impurity region that is not overlapped with a gate electrode. [Currently, claims 9-17 and 82 are "readable on" Species III].

Art Unit: 2829

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, NONE of the claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added.

An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/502,675

Art Unit: 2829

Page 4

- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Evan Pert whose telephone number is 703-306-5689. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30AM-3:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on 703-308-1233. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-0956.

**ETP** 

July 8, 2003

EVAN PERT